



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	O. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/006,368	12/10/2001	Ronald Hoogendoorn	P 0284116 50800/US	1673	
43569 7	590 01/17/2006		EXAMINER		
•	OWN, ROWE & MA	OGDEN JR, NECHOLUS			
1909 K STREI WASHINGTO	ET, N.W. N. DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1751		

DATE MAILED: 01/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)			
		10/006,368	10/006,368 HOOGENDOORN E		I ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Necholus Ogo	len	1751			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS CFR 1.136(a). In no event, h tition. y period will apply and will exp by statute, cause the application	COMMUNICATION nowever, may a reply be tim pire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	L. the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>24 October</u> 2005.					
•	-	☐ This action is non-	final.				
3)							
	closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e	e, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 19 and 21-29 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 19 and 21-29 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consid					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the ExThe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[ Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) to the drawing(s) be homographic correction is required in	eld in abeyance. Seef the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ a)∫	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for the All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docenses.  2. Certified copies of the priority docenses.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been re uments have been re ne priority documents Bureau (PCT Rule 1	eceived. eceived in Applications have been receive 7.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage		
Attachmen	t(s)						
1)  Notic 2)  Notic 3)  Infor	the of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO the roots)/Mail Date	948) //SB/08) 5)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	ite	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/006,368 Page 2

**Art Unit: 1751** 

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10-24-2005 has been entered.

## **Priority**

2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in United Kingdom on 06/09/1999. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the foreign application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 4. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by GB (1,122,466).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/006,368

Art Unit: 1751

GB discloses an aviation lubricating composition comprising a complex ester derived from adipic acid, neopentyl glycol and pelargonic acid (page 3, lines 11-21).

As this reference teaches the instantly claimed compound it is considered anticipatory.

- 5. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by KR (9505692).
- 6. KR '692 discloses a lubricant composition by reacting neopentyl glycol and adipic acid and reacting the product with a caprylic acid (see abstract).
- 7. As this reference teaches all of the instantly required it is considered anticipatory.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/006,368 Page 4

Art Unit: 1751

10. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

11. Claims 19, 21-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP (0415778).

EP '778 discloses a refrigerating oil composition comprising an ester compound as the base oil wherein said base oil comprises an aliphatic polyhydric compound by reacting a neopentyl glycol (page 3, line 22-29); a monocarboxylic acid such s caprylic acid or pelargonic acid; and a dicarboxylic acid such as adipic (page 3, lines 43-58). EP '778 teaches that the composition has a viscosity at 100 degrees Celsius in the range of 1-20 cst (page 3, lines 10-14). EP '778 further teach that said composition comprises mineral oil (page 5, lines 15-16) and additional additives such as antioxidants, extreme pressure additives, oiliness improving agents, defoaming agents and metal deactivators (page 5, lines 28-49).

EP '778 do not teach with sufficient specificity to anticipate the claims, however, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the components

Art Unit: 1751

to specifically teach the complex ester and additives of the claimed invention since EP '778 teach the esters, acids and additives are well known in the lubricating art.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Necholus Ogden whose telephone number is 571-272-1322. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Necholus Ogden Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751

No 1-6-05